



# Decomposition of two functions in the orthogonality equation

RADOSŁAW ŁUKASIK AND PAWEŁ WÓJCIK

**Abstract.** The aim of this paper is to solve the orthogonality equation with two unknown functions. This problem was posed by J. Chmieliński during two international conferences.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** Primary 39B52, 47A05, Secondary 47A62.

**Keywords.** Orthogonality equation, Hilbert space, Bounded linear operator.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$  be Hilbert spaces over the same field  $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ . Let  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  denote the inner product and  $\| \cdot \|$  the norm associated with it. We shall not distinguish between the symbols used for  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$ . The Banach space of all bounded linear operators from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{K}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{K})$ . It is known that  $h: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is a solution of the orthogonality equation:

$$\forall_{x,y \in \mathcal{H}} \quad \langle h(x) | h(y) \rangle = \langle x | y \rangle$$

if and only if  $h$  is a linear isometry (or equivalently  $h \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{K})$  and  $h^*h = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ ).

The following considerations have been inspired by the talks of J. Chmieliński during the 15th ICFEI and CUTS (see [3, p. 95] and [4, p. 145]). Namely, we will solve the generalized orthogonality equation:

$$\forall_{x,y \in \mathcal{H}} \quad \langle f(x) | g(y) \rangle = \langle x | y \rangle, \tag{1}$$

with two unknown functions  $f, g: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ . The paper [1] also deals with Eq. (1) and similar topics. We need the following lemma for further investigations.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f, g: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  satisfy Eq. (1) and  $\overline{\text{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})} = \mathcal{K}$ . Then  $g$  is linear.*

*Proof.* Fix  $y \in \text{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})$ . Then  $y = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k f(x_k)$  for some  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{H}$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle y|g(u + \beta w)\rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k f(x_k)|g(u + \beta w)\right\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \langle f(x_k)|g(u + \beta w)\rangle \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \langle x_k|u + \beta w\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k (\langle x_k|u\rangle + \bar{\beta} \langle x_k|w\rangle) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k (\langle f(x_k)|g(u)\rangle + \bar{\beta} \langle f(x_k)|g(w)\rangle) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \alpha_k f(x_k)|g(u) + \beta g(w)\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k f(x_k)|g(u) + \beta g(w)\right\rangle \\
&= \langle y|g(u) + \beta g(w)\rangle, \quad u, w \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{K}.
\end{aligned}$$

The set  $\text{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{K}$ , whence  $g(u + \beta w) = g(u) + \beta g(w)$  for  $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{K}$ . This means that  $g$  is linear.  $\square$

Some well-known facts will be useful for further considerations. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}; \mathcal{H})$ . Then there exists a unique  $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{K})$  such that

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{K} \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle Tx|y\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle x|T^*y\rangle_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Moreover,

$$\text{if } \forall x \in \mathcal{H} \quad \vartheta \|x\| \leq \|Tx\|, \quad \text{then } T(\mathcal{H}) \text{ is closed.} \quad (2)$$

It is also known that

$$T \text{ is invertible if and only if } T^* \text{ is invertible.} \quad (3)$$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $T_1, T_2: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  be linear maps and satisfy*

$$\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle T_1(x)|T_2(y)\rangle = \langle x|y\rangle. \quad (4)$$

*Assume that  $T_1(\mathcal{H})$  is dense. Then  $T_2$  is continuous, i.e.,  $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{K})$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$  such that  $x_n \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x_o$  for some  $x_o \in \mathcal{H}$ . Suppose that  $T_2 x_n \rightarrow z$  for some  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ . It suffices to show that  $T_2 x_o = z$  and apply The Closed Graph Theorem. Fix  $y \in \mathcal{H}$  and notice that  $\langle x_n - x_o|y\rangle \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand  $\langle x_n - x_o|y\rangle \stackrel{(4)}{=} \langle T_2 x_n - T_2 x_o|T_1 y\rangle \rightarrow \langle z - T_2 x_o|T_1 y\rangle$ . Thus we get

$$\forall y \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle z - T_2 x_o|T_1 y\rangle = 0.$$

Since  $T_1(\mathcal{H})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{K}$ , it follows that  $z - T_2 x_o = 0$ . We have shown that the graph of  $T_2$  is closed.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{K})$  satisfy the equation*

$$\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H} \quad \langle T(x)|S(y)\rangle = \langle x|y\rangle. \quad (5)$$

Then  $T$  is invertible if and only if  $S$  is invertible.

*Proof.* Assume that  $T$  is invertible. Then  $\langle x|y \rangle = \langle Tx|Sy \rangle = \langle x|T^*Sy \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ . Thus we have  $I_{\mathcal{H}} = T^*S$ . It follows from Lemma (3) that  $T^*$  is invertible. Therefore  $(T^*)^{-1} = S$ , hence  $S$  is invertible. The proof of the reverse is the same.  $\square$

## 2. Main result

In this section, we solve functional Eq. (1). Now we can state and prove the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $f, g: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  satisfy Eq. (1) if and only if there exist suitable closed subspaces  $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_3 \subset \mathcal{K}$  such that  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2 + \mathcal{M}_3$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_k \perp \mathcal{M}_j$  (for  $k \neq j$ ) and  $f, g$  can be written as the following decomposition

$$f = A + \varphi, \quad g = (A^*)^{-1} + \gamma,$$

for some invertible  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{M}_1)$  and for some mappings  $\varphi: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ ,  $\gamma: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_3$ .

*Proof.* The implication “ $\Leftarrow$ ” is immediate. We start with proving “ $\Rightarrow$ ”. It is clear that  $\mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})} \oplus \overline{\text{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})}^\perp$ , whence there are two mappings  $T_1: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})}$  and  $\varphi_1: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})}^\perp$  such that  $g(x) = T_1(x) + \varphi_1(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . It is easy to check that  $f, T_1$  satisfy

$$\forall_{x, y \in \mathcal{H}} \quad \langle f(x)|T_1(y) \rangle = \langle x|y \rangle. \quad (6)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x|y \rangle &= \langle f(x)|g(y) \rangle = \langle f(x)|T_1(y) + \varphi_1(y) \rangle = \langle f(x)|T_1(y) \rangle + \langle f(x)|\varphi_1(y) \rangle \\ &= \langle f(x)|T_1(y) \rangle + 0 = \langle f(x)|T_1(y) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that  $f, T_1$  satisfy (6). Moreover, the set  $\text{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})$  is dense in  $\overline{\text{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})}$ . It follows from 1 that  $T_1$  is linear.

There exists the closed subspace  $\mathcal{M} \subset \overline{\text{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})}$  such that  $\overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})} \perp \mathcal{M}$  and  $\overline{\text{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})} = \overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ . Therefore there are two mappings  $T_2: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})}$  and  $\varphi_2: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  such that  $f(x) = T_2(x) + \varphi_2(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . In a similar way we prove that  $T_2, T_1$  satisfy

$$\forall_{x, y \in \mathcal{H}} \quad \langle T_2(x)|T_1(y) \rangle = \langle x|y \rangle. \quad (7)$$

Now, we can consider the linear operators  $T_2: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})}$  and  $T_1: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})}$  instead of  $T_1: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{\text{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})}$ . By Lemma 1 and (7),  $T_2$  is linear. By Lemma 2 and (7),  $T_2$  is continuous, i.e.,  $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})})$ .

There is the closed subspace  $\mathcal{N} \subset \overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})}$  such that  $\overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})} = \overline{T_2(\mathcal{H})} \oplus \mathcal{N}$  and  $T_2(\mathcal{H}) \perp \mathcal{N}$ . Hence there are two mappings  $T_3: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{T_2(\mathcal{H})}$  and  $\varphi_3: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  such

that  $T_1(x) = T_3(x) + \varphi_3(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . In a similar way we prove that  $T_3$  is linear and  $T_2, T_3$  satisfy

$$\forall_{x,y \in \mathcal{H}} \quad \langle T_2(x) | T_3(y) \rangle = \langle x | y \rangle. \quad (8)$$

Now, we consider the linear operator  $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \overline{T_2(\mathcal{H})})$  (instead of  $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})})$ ). Let us consider also the linear operator  $T_3: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{T_2(\mathcal{H})}$ . Applying again Lemma 2 and (8) we can say that  $T_3$  is continuous, i.e.,  $T_3 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \overline{T_2(\mathcal{H})})$ . Now, we get

$$\|x\|^2 = \langle x | x \rangle \stackrel{(8)}{=} \langle T_2 x | T_3 x \rangle \leq \|T_2 x\| \cdot \|T_3 x\| \leq \|T_2 x\| \cdot \|T_3\| \cdot \|x\|$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . It follows from the above inequality that

$$\forall_{y \in \mathcal{H}} \quad \frac{1}{\|T_3\|} \cdot \|x\| \leq \|T_2 x\|. \quad (9)$$

Thus  $T_2(\mathcal{H})$  is closed (see 2). Thus we obtain that  $T_2(\mathcal{H}) = \overline{T_2(\mathcal{H})}$  and  $T_2, T_3 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; T_2(\mathcal{H}))$ . It follows from (9) that  $T_2$  is injective, hence  $T_2$  is invertible. Therefore  $T_3 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; T_2(\mathcal{H}))$  is also invertible by Theorem 3 and (8).

Finally, we define  $\mathcal{M}_1 := T_2(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_2 := \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_3 := \mathcal{N} \oplus \overline{\text{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})}^\perp$ . Next, we define  $\varphi: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2, \gamma: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_3$  by  $\varphi := \varphi_2, \gamma := \varphi_3 + \varphi_1$ . Moreover, we define  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{M}_1)$  by  $A := T_2$ . Clearly  $A$  is invertible and  $(A^*)^{-1} = T_3$ . Thus we get  $f = A + \varphi$  and  $g = (A^*)^{-1} + \gamma$  and  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2 + \mathcal{M}_3$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_k \perp \mathcal{M}_j$  for  $k \neq j$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *Suppose that  $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$ . Let  $f, g: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  satisfy Eq. (1). Then  $f, g \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{H})$  and  $f = (g^*)^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* By the above theorem we have a decomposition  $f = A + \varphi, g = (A^*)^{-1} + \gamma$  for some invertible  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{M}_1)$  and some mappings  $\varphi: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2, \gamma: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_3$  (where  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2 + \mathcal{M}_3$  and  $\mathcal{M}_k \perp \mathcal{M}_j$ ). Since  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{M}_1)$  is invertible and  $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2 = \{0\} = \mathcal{M}_3$ . So  $\varphi = 0 = \gamma$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  satisfy the equation*

$$\forall_{x,y \in \mathcal{H}} \quad \langle f(x) | f(y) \rangle = \langle x | y \rangle. \quad (10)$$

*Then  $f$  is a linear isometry.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 4 we have a decomposition  $f = A + \varphi, f = (A^*)^{-1} + \gamma$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{M}_1)$  and  $\varphi: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2, \gamma: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_3$ . Thus we get  $A + \varphi = (A^*)^{-1} + \gamma$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_2 \perp \mathcal{M}_3$ , we get  $\varphi = 0 = \gamma$ . Hence  $f = A$ . Then  $f$  is a linear mapping and by (10),  $f$  is a linear isometry.  $\square$

Similar investigations have been carried out in the manuscript [2]. Namely, Eq. (1) and its stability, as well as the approximate orthogonality preserving property are considered in [2].

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

- [1] Chmieliński, J.: Orthogonality equation with two unknown functions. *Aequationes Math.*, to appear; doi:[10.1007/s00010-015-0359-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00010-015-0359-x)
- [2] Chmieliński, J., Łukasik, R., Wójcik, P.: On the stability of the orthogonality equation and orthogonality preserving property with two unknown functions (submitted)
- [3] Report of Meeting, *Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis Studia Mathematica*, Vol. 12, pp. 91–131 (2013)
- [4] Report of Meeting, *Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis Studia Mathematica*, Vol. 13, pp. 139–169 (2014)

Radosław Łukasik  
 Institute of Mathematics  
 University of Silesia  
 ul. Bankowa 14, 40-007 Katowice, Poland  
 e-mail: [rlukasik@math.us.edu.pl](mailto:rlukasik@math.us.edu.pl)

Paweł Wójcik  
 Institute of Mathematics  
 Pedagogical University of Cracow  
 Podchorążych 2, 30-084 Kraków, Poland  
 e-mail: [pwojcik@up.krakow.pl](mailto:pwojcik@up.krakow.pl)

Received: January 25, 2015

Revised: September 30, 2015